

Malaria Vector Surveillance Report, South Africa, January– December 2022

Yael Dahan-Moss^{1,2*}, Givemore Munhenga^{1,2}, Maria L. Kaiser^{1,2}, Megan Riddin³, Avhatakali Matamba^{1,2}, Witness Ramashia^{1,2}, Wendy Rasikhanya^{1,2}, Windy Sekgele^{1,2}, Zandile Langa^{1,2}, Monique Shanahan³, Ashley Burke^{1,2}, Kayla Noeth^{1,2}, Leanne Lobb^{1,2}, Thabo Mashatola¹, Shüné Oliver^{1,2}, Joel Mouatcho⁴ Power Tshikae⁴, Eric Raswiswi⁴, Lindelwe Mabika⁴, Dumisani Dlamini⁴, Nondumiso Mabaso⁴, Zuziwe Manyawo⁵, Nombuso Ntshangase⁴, Silindile Sibambo⁵, Busisiwe Nkosi⁵, John Govere², Bryan Silawu⁵, Paul Chibi⁵, Lazarus Mkhabela⁵, Fanuel Ndlovu⁵, Thembekile Mgwenya⁵, Lizette L. Koekemoer^{1,2}, Basil Brooke^{1,2*}

¹Centre for Emerging Zoonotic and Parasitic Diseases, National Institute for Communicable Diseases, a division of the National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa

²Wits Research Institute for Malaria, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

³University of Pretoria Institute for Sustainable Malaria Control, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa ⁴Environmental Health, Malaria and Communicable Disease Control, KwaZulu-Natal Department of Health, KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa

⁵Malaria Elimination Programme, Mpumalanga Department of Health, Mpumalanga Province, South Africa *Authors for correspondence

Summary

Malaria in South Africa is seasonal and primarily occurs in the Limpopo, Mpumalanga, and KwaZulu-Natal Provinces. Control of malaria vectors is achieved by indoor spraying of residual insecticides (IRS) and limited larval source management. As the country progresses towards malaria elimination, enhanced vector surveillance is necessary in order to obtain comprehensive knowledge of the distribution and density of both primary and secondary vectors responsible for the ongoing residual malaria transmission. This report summarises malaria vector surveillance conducted in 2022 by the provincial malaria control entomology teams in collaboration with partner institutions. The surveillance revealed the presence of four malaria vector species — Anopheles arabiensis (n=1,663, 26%), An. merus (n=462, 7%), An. parensis (n=878, 14%), and An. vaneedeni (n=110, 2%). These contribute in varying degrees to ongoing residual malaria transmission in South Africa. Collections also included several closely related non-vector Anopheles species. The specimens analysed were collected from KwaZulu-Natal (54%, n=3,442), Mpumalanga (9%, n=601), and Limpopo (37%, n=2,381) provinces. The surveillance information by province and district/municipality supports the ongoing implementation of an IRS-based vector control strategy. In terms of cost and labour effectiveness, we suggest that spraying operations can be stratified by a high rate of proactive coverage in medium-incidence areas (these are the highest incidence districts in South Africa) and along the borders of Mozambique and Zimbabwe, proactive targeted spraying in low-incidence areas, and reactive focal spraying in very low-incidence areas in response to local cases. The completion of proactive spraying should ideally be before the onset of each malaria season. Given that all sporozoite-positive (and therefore malaria-infective) adult Anopheles females collected in recent years were found resting outdoors, larval source management, including the treatment of winter breeding sites, should continue to be



used and scaled up as a complimentary method to enhance the effect of IRS in areas where locally acquired cases occur and in other receptive areas at risk for malaria.

Introduction

South Africa's malaria-affected areas include the low-altitude border regions of Limpopo, Mpumalanga, and KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) Provinces. These regions typically experience active malaria transmission, especially during the peak malaria season that spans the summer months of November to April. Malaria incidence in South Africa is generally low, with fewer than 5 000 local cases reported annually.¹

South Africa's malaria-endemic provinces have developed well-coordinated malaria control operations, including routine vector control, which is primarily based on the application of indoor residual insecticide spraying (IRS) and, to a lesser extent, larval source management.² Although IRS has proven efficacy spanning many decades, residual malaria transmission continues and is likely caused by outdoor feeding and resting *Anopheles* vector mosquitoes that are less susceptible to indoor application of insecticides.^{34,5} In addition, populations of the major malaria vector species, *Anopheles funestus* and *An. arabiensis*, have developed resistance to insecticides, especially in northern KwaZulu-Natal Province.^{2,6} The pyrethroid resistance phenotype in *An. arabiensis* in this region is currently of low intensity and is not considered to be operationally significant yet. This is in contrast to the pyrethroid-carbamate resistance profile in *An. funestus* that is of high intensity, highly significant epidemiologically, and was at least partly causative of the malaria epidemic experienced in South Africa during the period 1996-2000.⁷

Residual malaria transmission and insecticide resistance in vector populations within South Africa's borders necessitate ongoing and enhanced vector surveillance to inform best practices for control and elimination. This is especially pertinent in terms of South Africa's malaria elimination agenda.⁸ Currently, surveillance is routinely conducted by the entomology teams of Mpumalanga, KwaZulu-Natal, and Limpopo Provinces with support from partner institutions including the National Institute for Communicable Diseases (NICD), the Wits Research Institute for Malaria (WRIM) of the University of the Witwatersrand, the UP Institute for Sustainable Malaria Control (UP ISMC) of the University of Pretoria, and the South African Medical Research Council (SAMRC).

This report summarises malaria vector surveillance in South Africa in 2022 based on specimens referred to the Vector Control Reference Laboratory (VCRL) of the Centre for Emerging Zoonotic and Parasitic Diseases (CEZPD), NICD, as well as specimens collected and analysed by personnel from the University of Pretoria Institute for Sustainable Malaria Control.

Methods

Anopheles mosquitoes were collected from sentinel sites in KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga, and Limpopo Provinces (Figure 1). These specimens were either collected by the VCRL and UP ISMC, or referred to the VCRL by partner institutions and provincial malaria control programme entomology teams from January - December 2022.

Adult Anopheles mosquitoes were collected in human-baited net traps, human landing catches, house searches, animal shelters, including cattle kraal, CDC and Encephalitis Vector Survey (EVS) traps, CO₂-baited tent traps, swarm nets, and outdoor clay pots, drums, disused black plastic sheets, modified buckets, and discarded tyres. Other specimens were collected as larvae and reared as adults before analysis. Collections were done at several sentinel sites (Figure 1). Preservation of adult specimens was by desiccation on silica gel in 1.5ml microcentrifuge tubes. Initial morphological identification of each specimen was by VCRL, partner institutions, or provincial malaria control



programme personnel. Specimens identified as members of the *An. gambiae* complex or *An. funestus* group were subsequently identified as species using standard polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays ^{9,10,11} by VCRL and UP ISMC personnel. The VCRL is a SANAS-accredited laboratory, and specific tests based on the ISO 17025:2017 standard ensured the quality of results for all specimens received and processed at the VCRL.



Figure 1. Sentinel sites (white dots) in KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga, and Limpopo Provinces from which Anopheles specimens were collected, South Africa, 2022. All maps were created using QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. http://qgis.org



Results

In total, 6 424 Anopheles mosquitoes were collected from llembe, Umkhanyakude, King Cetshwayo, and Zululand districts of KwaZulu-Natal Province, the Ehlanzeni district of Mpumalanga Province, and the Vhembe district of Limpopo Province sentinel sites. Most of the specimens were collected from KwaZulu-Natal (54%, n=3,442), followed by Limpopo (37%, n=2,381), and Mpumalanga (9%, n=601) Provinces (Table 1). These were subsequently clustered as either the An. gambiae complex (36%, n=2,297), the An. funestus group (20%, n=1,309), or other Anopheles species (44%, n=2,818). Anopheles arabiensis predominated the collections (26%, n=1,663), especially in KwaZulu-Natal Province, although substantial numbers of An. rufipes (17%), An. parensis (14%), An. pretoriensis (8%), and An. merus (7%) were also collected. Anopheles merus and An. rufipes predominated the collections from Mpumalanga and Limpopo Provinces, respectively (Table 1).

Table 1. Numbers of *Anopheles* specimens collected by species and province from different sentinels in South Africa, 2022.

Anopheles species complex, group, or other	Species	KwaZulu-Natal	Mpumalanga	Limpopo	Total
	An. arabiensis	1,590	73		1,663
An. gambiae complex	An. merus	142	320		462
	An. quadriannulatus	38	109	25	172
	An. leesoni	17		48	65
	An. parensis	869		9	878
An. tunestus group	An. rivulorum	110	18	33	161
	An. rivulorum-like			95	95
	An. vaneedeni	88	16	6	110
	An. caliginosus	0	1		1
	An. coustani	61	27	84	172
	An. cydippis			2	2
	An. demeilloni	11	1	39	51
	An. flavicosta			3	3
	An. gibbinsi			80	80
	An. listeri			130	130
Other Anopheles	An. longipalpus		2		2
species	An. maculipalpis	15	4	1	20
species	An. marshallii group	316	1		317
	An. nili			82	82
	An. pharoensis	52		1	53
	An. pretoriensis	19	20	499	538
	An. rhodesiensis			121	121
	An. rufipes	38	9	1029	1076
	An. squamosus	36		2	38
	An. tenebrosus	40		80	120
	An. theileri			12	12
Total		3,442	601	2,381	6,424



Collections of the malaria vectors An. arabiensis and An. merus (members of the An. gambiae species complex) were from sentinel sites in the KwaZulu-Natal and Mpumalanga Provinces (Figure 2). In KwaZulu-Natal Province, populations of these species were in the Jozini and Big Five Hlabisa municipalities of the Umkhanyakude District, the uPhongolo municipality of Zululand District, and the uMfolozi, uMhlathuze, and uMlalazi municipalities of the King Cetshwayo District. In Mpumalanga Province, these species were in Bushbuckridge, Mbombela, and Nkomazi municipalities of the Ehlanzeni District.



Figure 2. Sentinel sites (white dots) in KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga, and Limpopo Provinces that yielded samples of Anopheles arabiensis and An. merus (Anopheles gambiae complex), South Africa, 2022.

Samples of the potential secondary malaria vector species *An.* vaneedeni³ came from sentinel sites in all three endemic provinces, while collections of *An. parensis*, also a potential secondary vector¹², were from the KwaZulu-Natal and Limpopo Provinces (Table 1). Other potential malaria vector species within the *An. funestus* group that were collected from sentinel sites in these three provinces included *An. leesoni* and *An. rivulorum*¹³ (Table 1). Collection site localities for all known and suspected vector species within the *An. funestus* group are indicated in Figure 3. Specimens of these species came from the Jozini, Umhlabuyalingana, and Mtubatuba municipalities of the Umkhanyakude District, the Uphongolo municipality of Zululand District, KwaZulu-Natal Province, the Nkomazi and Bushbuckridge of the Ehlanzeni District of Mpumalanga Province, and the Musina and Thulamela municipalities of the Vhembe District of Limpopo Province.





Figure 3. Sentinel sites (white dots) in KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga, and Limpopo Provinces from which Anopheles funestus group samples of the known and potential secondary malaria vectors An. vaneedeni, An. parensis, An. Rivulorum, and An. leesoni were collected, South Africa, 2022.

Anopheles coustani, An. demeilloni, An. longipalpis, An. marshallii group, An. nili, An. pharoensis, An. pretoriensis, An. rufipes, An. squamosus, An. Tenebrosus, and An. theileri are incriminated as malaria vectors in other regions of Africa¹³⁻²⁰ but not in South Africa. Figure 4 shows the distribution of these potential vector species. Specimens of these species were collected in the Jozini and Umhlabuyalingana municipalities in the Umkhanyakude District, as well as in the uPhongolo municipality of the Zululand district of KwaZulu-Natal Province, in the Bushbuckridge and Nkomazi municipalities of the Ehlanzeni District of Mpumalanga Province, and in the Musina and Thulamela municipalities of the Vhembe district of Limpopo Province.





Figure 4. Sentinel sites (white dots) in KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga, and Limpopo Provinces from which samples of miscellaneous Anopheles species (species not belonging to the An. gambiae complex or An. funestus group) were collected. These sites included the collection of potential secondary malaria vectors Anopheles coustani, An. demeilloni, An. longipalpis, An. marshallii group, An. nili, An. pharoensis, An. pretoriensis, An. rufipes, An. squamosus, An. Tenebrosus, and An. theileri, South Africa, 2022.

The number of anophelines collected by species during specific seasons was highly variable across the three endemic provinces. Anopheles arabiensis was prevalent throughout the year in KwaZulu-Natal Province, while An. merus was particularly prevalent during summer (January to February), winter (June to August), and spring (September to November) in Mpumalanga Province (Figure 5). Anopheles quadriannulatus predominated the Anopheles gambiae complex collections from Limpopo Province during autumn (March to May). Of the An. funestus group, An. parensis was most common during summer and autumn in KwaZulu-Natal Province. Anopheles vaneedeni predominated in Mpumalanga Province during summer (January to February), while An. rivulorum was prevalent during autumn. Anopheles rivulorum-like dominated the collections in Limpopo Province during autumn and spring (Figure 6). Miscellaneous Anopheles species collections in KwaZulu-Natal Province indicate that An. marshallii group predominated throughout the year (Figure 7). Anopheles coustani was predominant in summer (January to February) and autumn, while in spring, An. pretoriensis predominated the collections of miscellaneous species in Mpumalanga Province. Anopheles gibbinsi and An. rufipes predominated the miscellaneous species in autumn and spring, respectively, in Limpopo Province.





An. gambiae complex species collected during specific months

Figure 5. Distribution (in absolute numbers) of *Anopheles gambiae* complex specimens collected by species, province, and season, South Africa, 2022. Summer = 1 January to 28 February and December; Autumn = 1 March to 31 May; Winter = 1 June to 31 August; Spring = 1 September to 30 November.



Figure 6. Distribution (in absolute numbers) of *Anopheles funestus* group specimens collected by species, province, and season, South Africa, 2022. Summer = 1 January to 28 February; Autumn = 1 March to 31 May; Winter = 1 June to 31 August; Spring = 1 September to 30 November.



Figure 7. Distribution (in absolute numbers) of miscellaneous *Anopheles* specimens collected by species, province, and season, South Africa, 2022. Summer = 1 January to 28 February and December; Autumn = 1 March to 31 May; Winter = 1 June to 31 August; Spring = 1 September to 30 November.



The Anopheles specimens collected in 2022 were sampled as either larvae or adults. In KwaZulu-Natal Province, 94% of the species were collected as adults, while in Limpopo and Mpumalanga, 51% and 33% of Anopheles species were collected as adults, respectively. In all three provinces, CO_2 -baited tent traps were used to collect adult mosquito specimens. CO_2 -baited tent traps were most effective in Limpopo, where 74% (n=880) of the mosquitoes were sampled using this method. So was Mpumalanga, where 35% (n=59) of the specimens were also derived from this sampling method. In contrast, clay pots were the most effective sampling method in KwaZulu-Natal Province (54%, n=1,673), followed by discarded tyres (27%, n=839).

Collections of adult An. gambiae complex mosquitoes, especially An. arabiensis and An. merus, were from clay pots, CO₂-baited tent traps, human-landing catches, cattle kraal posts, drums, male swarms, discarded tyres, human-baited net traps, animal shelters, and disused black plastic sheeting (Table 2). Collections of adult An. funestus group including An. parensis, An. vaneedeni, An. Rivulorum, and An. leesoni, were from all the collection methods listed in Table 2, with the exception of indoor collections.

Collections of the potential secondary malaria vectors Anopheles coustani, An. demeilloni, An. longipalpis, An. marshallii group, An. nili, An. pharoensis, An. pretoriensis, An. rufipes, An. squamosus, An. Tenebrosus, and An. theileri were from clay pots, CO₂-baited tent traps, human-landing catches, CDC light traps, EVS traps, cattle kraal posts, indoor searches, modified buckets, discarded tyres, and animal shelters (Table 2).

Anopheles species complex, group, or other	Species	Clay pots		CO ₂ tent traps		Human landing catches		CDC- light traps	EVS traps	Cattle kraal posts		Drums	Indoor searches	Male swarm		Modified buckets	Discarded Tyres	Human- baited net trap	Animal Shelters	Black plastic sheet	
		KZN	MP	KZN	MP	LP	KZN	MP	LP	LP	KZN	MP	KZN	KZN	KZN	MP	KZN	KZN	KZN	KZN	KZN
An. gambiae complex	An. arabiensis	914	8	2	1		9	3			64	3	6		21		22	382	1	17	11
	An. merus	41	7	2	20		9	17			13	1	2		4	30		33	1		
	An. quadriannulatus	2	1			20		1	1	4	5										
	An loosoni	7				20			14	4	1		1				1	4		2	
An. funestus group	An peropsis	405		1		1			2	4	21		2				2	200		10	14
	An. putensis	405		20	F	0		2	0	1	11	10	5				5	14		10	14
	An rivulorum-like	24		20	5	54		5	24	8		10						14		40	
	An. vaneedeni	45	3	5	6	2		5	3	1	13					2		18		2	
	An. caliginosus							1													
	An. coustani	1		12	12	60		13	8	1	4										42
	An. cydippis					2															
	An. demeilloni	5			1	9			3	24									3		
	An. flavicosta								3												
	An. gibbinsi					74				5											
Other	An. Listeri					51				1											
Anopheles species	An. longipalpus				2																
	An. maculipalpis	13		2	2	1		1				1									
	An. marshallii group	180		8	1												3	3	2		
	An. nili					61															
	An. pharoensis	7		38		1					2										3
	An. pretoriensis			3	3	195			19	11							1	1			14
	An. rhodesiensis					33			2	10											
	An. rufipes	6		31	6	207			113	18	5						1	1			
	An. squamosus	7		23							6										6
	An. tenebrous	16		16		64			11	5				4					1		
	An. theileri					7				3											

Table 2. Numbers of adult Anopheles specimens by species and sampling method from the malaria-endemic provinces of South Africa, 2022.



Discussion

Malaria vector surveillance in 2022 in the KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga, and Limpopo provinces of South Africa revealed 17 Anopheles species of interest in malaria transmission. The collections included species previously incriminated as vectors in South Africa (An. arabiensis, An. parensis, and An. vaneedeni),^{34,12} as well as species incriminated as vectors in other African localities (An. merus, An. leesoni, An. rivulorum, An. coustani, An. demeilloni, An. longipalpis, An. marshallii group, An. nili, An. pharoensis, An. pretoriensis, An. rufipes, An. squamosus, An. tenebrosus, and An. theileli ¹³⁻²⁰).

The major vector, *An. arabiensis*, was the predominant species collected during 2022, accounting for 46% of the specimens collected from KwaZulu-Natal Province. This species was also present in Mpumalanga Province, accounting for 12% of the specimens collected, and is likely present in Limpopo Province, although no specimens were collected in 2022. *Anopheles arabiensis* is currently the major malaria vector in South Africa, following the near eradication of *An. funestus* by intensive IRS campaigns over the last two decades.^{2,21} Since *An. arabiensis* females are at least partially inclined to feed and rest outdoors, they are less susceptible to control by IRS.^{4,5} This species is therefore the primary vector of residual malaria in South Africa,⁴ but not the only contributor.

Collections of *An. merus* were from KwaZulu-Natal and Mpumalanga Provinces, with the highest numbers coming from Mpumalanga Province, similar to collections from 2019 to 2021. Although *An. merus* has not been directly implicated in malaria transmission in South Africa, its confirmed vector status in other regions, such as southern Mozambique (sporozoite rates for *An. merus* in the Boane District being 4.2%),²² suggests that it is most likely an important secondary malaria vector in South Africa. This species is primarily a coastal saltwater breeder, although it has also been collected from freshwater larval habitats in southern Africa, including sites in South Africa.²³

Anopheles parensis and An. vaneedeni have been implicated as secondary malaria vectors in South Africa,^{3,12} while other members of the An. funestus group (An. rivulorum and An. leesoni) have been implicated as secondary malaria vectors in East Africa.¹³ Collections of An. vaneedeni and An. rivulorum were from all three endemic provinces, while An. parensis and An. leesoni were detected in KwaZulu-Natal and Limpopo Provinces. Anopheles vaneedeni likely contributes to residual malaria transmission in South Africa given its tendency to rest outdoors and to feed on humans, amongst other vertebrate hosts.³ Anopheles parensis is primarily zoonotic and may rest indoors and outdoors. This species will also occasionally feed on humans²⁴ and can potentially contribute to residual malaria transmission in South Africa.

The major vector An. funestus s.s., the predominant malaria vector species in neighbouring Mozambique and Zimbabwe, was not detected in South Africa in 2022. This can be attributed to year-on-year IRS programmes in the malaria-endemic provinces. Ongoing vigilance for the presence of this species is, however, important. This is because An. funestus is an efficient malaria vector that can cause outbreaks and epidemics in comparatively short time frames, exacerbated by high-intensity pyrethroid resistance in most populations of this species in south-eastern Africa.

Other species that occur in South Africa and that have been incriminated as malaria vectors in various African localities include An. coustani, An. demeilloni, An. longipalpis, An. marshallii group, An. nili, An. pharoensis, An. pretoriensis, An. rufipes, An. squamosus, An. tenebrosus, and An. theileri.¹³⁻²⁰ It is possible that one or more of these species plays a role in residual malaria transmission in South Africa. Anopheles coustani, An. demeilloni, An. pretoriensis, and An. rufipes were present in all three endemic provinces in South Africa in 2022.



Anopheles population densities tend to fluctuate between seasons, as indicated in the collection data for 2022. They are generally highest during the late summer months, congruent with increased rainfall⁴, and translate into higher malaria transmission rates during summer and especially late summer.

Collections of the Anopheles species incriminated as vectors in South Africa, An. arabiensis, An. parensis, and An. vaneedeni, were predominantly from clay pots. Collections of other potential secondary vectors were predominantly from CO₂ tent traps, clay pots, discarded tyres, and EVS traps. These data show that collection methods targeting adult mosquitoes yield greater critical surveillance information than exclusive reliance on larval collections, especially in terms of vector species assemblage (risk and receptivity) and vector incrimination.

The urban malaria vector *An. stephensi* has not been detected in southern Africa to date, but is nevertheless increasing its range in Africa.²⁵ This species is endemic to South-East Asia and parts of the Arabian Peninsula and has recently been detected in the horn of Africa, Sudan, and most recently in East and West Africa. *Anopheles stephensi* generally breeds in clean, potable water, and adult females take blood from humans and livestock. It's mode of spread into Africa is evidently shipping, and based on an analysis of global shipping networks, South Africa is at risk of importing this species.²⁶ Vigilance for *An. stephensi* in east coast seaports and urban and peri-urban areas of malaria-endemic districts is therefore indicated.

The occurrence of primary and secondary vector species in all three of South Africa's malaria-endemic provinces shows that the affected districts/municipalities remain highly receptive to malaria despite ongoing IRS operations each year. During 2022, Limpopo Province recorded the highest number of local malaria cases, primarily in the Mopani and Vhembe districts, yet the major vector species *An. arabiensis* and *An. funestus* were not detected. This suggests that secondary vector species play an especially important role in ongoing malaria transmission in Limpopo Province, which is likely true for the other endemic provinces as well, but to a lesser extent. To date, only *An. funestus* s.s. is historically implicated in malaria transmission in Limpopo Province, although this species was not detected in 2022. The entomological drivers of malaria transmission in this province are yet to be fully determined.

Conclusions

Several malaria vector species occur in the north-eastern lowveld regions of South Africa, with their relative abundances remaining comparatively high through the dry winter months in some instances. These data indicate a high receptivity for malaria and therefore, a high risk of resurgence in endemic areas currently cleared up or at very low incidence. Despite co-ordinated provincial IRS programmes that usually achieve high spray coverage rates (80% or more of targeted structures in endemic areas), populations of these species persist and at least three of them - An. arabiensis, An. vaneedeni, and An. parensis – have previously been implicated in ongoing residual transmission in South Africa (An. merus is also a highly likely contributor). The reasons for this are multiple and certainly include outdoor-biting and outdoor-resting components of these species. The vector surveillance information by province and district/municipality from 2022 supports the ongoing implementation of a stratified IRS-based vector control strategy supported by larval source management for the control of residual malaria.



We recommend:

- Maintenance of malaria vector surveillance in South Africa's endemic provinces on a weekly to monthly basis, especially during summer and autumn, by provincial entomology teams with the support of partner institutions (NICD, UP ISMC, SAMRC, and WRIM).
- Prioritisation of insecticide susceptibility data, especially for populations of major vector species. Collection of susceptibility data should be annual and conducted in collaboration with partner institutions. Priority insecticides include deltamethrin, pirimiphos methyl, DDT, and clothianidin, if possible.
- Emphasis on the collection of adult *Anopheles* mosquitoes using an array of proven methods. This necessarily involves night-time collections by surveillance teams and personnel of partner institutions. Larval collections, conducted during the day, are also important, primarily for the detection and geolocation of breeding sites.
- Biannual vector surveillance (by provincial entomology team personnel) in those districts or municipalities in endemic provinces that are currently malaria-free. This provides important information on malaria receptivity and the risk of re-introduction.
- Annual sampling (by provincial entomology team personnel and partner institutions) of aquatic stage mosquitoes from potential *An. stephensi* breeding sites, especially in east coast seaports as well as urban and peri-urban areas in malaria-endemic districts.
- Use of the provincial DHIS2 systems for the collation of vector surveillance data. Senior entomology team members, with the support of information officers, can do this. Partner institutions are strongly encouraged to share their surveillance data with the national and provincial control programmes by uploading pertinent data to the DHIS2 databases.
- Stratification of annual IRS-based vector control operations by a high rate of proactive coverage in mediumincidence areas (these are the highest incidence districts in South Africa) and along the borders of Mozambique and Zimbabwe, proactive targeted spraying in low-incidence areas, and reactive focal spraying in very lowincidence areas in response to local cases. Proactive spraying should achieve a high rate of coverage (>95%) in areas of active transmission based on incidence data from preceding malaria seasons and the occurrence of major and secondary vector species.
- Completion of IRS activities, as conducted by provincial malaria control personnel, before the onset of each malaria season, i.e. October November.
- Larval habitats mapping and characterisation to facilitate larviciding
- Scaling up of larviciding, including the treatment of winter breeding sites, as a complimentary method to enhance the effect of IRS in areas where locally-acquired cases occur and in other receptive areas at risk for malaria.²⁷
- Maintenance and revision of insecticide resistance management practices that hinge on vector surveillance information and the market availability/affordability of third-generation insecticides. These include products containing one or a combination of the following active ingredients: pyrethroids, pirimiphos methyl, and clothianidin.



Acknowledgements

Thanks to the entomology team members of the provincial malaria control programmes of KwaZulu-Natal and Mpumalanga for the referral of surveillance specimens to the VCRL. We are also grateful to Ednah Baloyi, Bridget Shandukani, Gillian Malatje, Eric Raswiswi, Eric Mabunda, Dr Patrick Moonasar, Prof Rajendra Maharaj, Prof Karen Barnes, Aaron Mabuza, and all members of the South Africa Malaria Elimination Committee (SAMEC) and the vector control subcommittee for their support for vector surveillance.

Funding

These activities were sponsored by the Mpumalanga and KwaZulu-Natal Malaria Control Programmes, the National Institute for Communicable Diseases, the NHLS Research Trust, the International Atomic Energy Agency, the Technology Innovation Agency, the Department of Science and Innovation, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the NRF Research Foundation (NRF) Thuthuka Funding Framework, the South African Medical Research Council Office of Malaria Research funding to UPISMC, and through NRF research grants to WRIM.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest



References

- 1. South Africa National Department of Health malaria statistics. Unpublished data.
- 2. Brooke BD, Koekemoer LL, Kruger P, Urbach J, Misiani E, Coetzee M. Malaria Vector Control in South Africa. S Afr Med J 2013; 103(10 Suppl 2): 784-788.
- 3. Burke A, Dandalo L, Munhenga G, Dahan-Moss Y, Mbokazi F, Ngxongo S, Coetzee M, Koekemoer L, Brooke B. A new malaria vector mosquito in South Africa. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 43779.
- 4. Dandalo LC, Brooke BD, Munhenga G, Lobb LN, Zikhali J, Ngxongo SP, Zikhali PM, Msimang S, Wood OR, Mofokeng M, Misiani E, Chirwa T, Koekemoer LL. 2017. Population dynamics and *Plasmodium falciparum* (Haemosporida: Plasmodiidae) infectivity rates for the malaria vector *Anopheles arabiensis* (Diptera: Culicidae) at Mamfene, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. J Med Entomol 2017; 54(6): 1758-1766.
- 5. Carnevale P, Manguin S. Review of Issues on Residual Malaria Transmission. J Infect Dis. 2021; 223(Supplement_2): S61-S80.
- Brooke BD, Robertson L, Kaiser ML, Raswiswi E, Munhenga G, Venter N, Wood OR, Koekemoer LL. Insecticide resistance in the malaria vector Anopheles arabiensis in Mamfene, KwaZulu-Natal. S Afr J Sci 2015; 111(11/12): 0261.
- 7. Hargreaves K, Koekemoer LL, Brooke BD, Hunt RH, Mthembu J, Coetzee M. Anopheles funestus resistant to pyrethroid insecticides in South Africa. *Med Vet Entomol.* 2000;14(2):181-189.
- South Africa National Department of Health, Malaria elimination strategy for South Africa 2019-2013, Pretoria, NDoH, 2019.
- 9. Scott JA, Brogdon WG, Collins FH. Identification of single specimens of the Anopheles gambiae complex by the polymerase chain reaction. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1993; 49: 520-529.
- 10. Koekemoer LL, Kamau L, Hunt RH, Coetzee M. A cocktail polymerase chain reaction assay to identify members of the Anopheles funestus (Diptera: Culicidae) group. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2002; 66: 804-811.
- 11. Cohuet A, Simard F, Toto JC, Kengne P, Coetzee M, Fontenille D. Species identification within the Anopheles funestus group of malaria vectors in Cameroon and evidence for a new species. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2003; 69: 200-205.
- 12. Burke A, Dahan-Moss Y, Duncan F, Qwabe B, Coetzee M, Koekemoer L, Brooke B. Anopheles parensis contributes to residual malaria transmission in South Africa. *Malar* J 2019; 18: 257.
- 13. Kyalo D, Amratia P, Mundia CW, Mbogo CM, Coetzee M, Snow RW. A geo-coded inventory of anophelines in the Afrotropical Region south of the Sahara: 1898-2016. *Wellcome Open*
- 14. Carnevale P, Le Goff G, Toto JC, Robert V. Anopheles nili as the main vector of human malaria in villages of southern Cameroon. *Med Vet Entomol.* 1992;6(2):135-138
- 15. Longo-Pendy NM, Boundenga L, Kutomy POO, Mbou-Boutambe C, Makanga B, Moukodoum N, Obame-Nkoghe J, Makouloutou PN, Mounioko F, Akone-Ella R, Nkoghe-Nkoghe LC, Ngangue Salamba MF, Lekana-Douki JB, Kengne P. Systematic Review on Diversity and Distribution of Anopheles Species in Gabon: A Fresh Look at the Potential Malaria Vectors and Perspectives. Pathogens. 2022 Jun 9;11(6):668.
- 16. Ogola EO, Fillinger U, Ondiba IM, Villinger J, Masiga DK, Torto B, Tchouassi DP. Insights into malaria transmission among Anopheles funestus mosquitoes, Kenya. Parasit Vectors 2018; 11: 577.
- 17. Daygena TY, Massebo F, Lindtjørn B. Variation in species composition and infection rates of Anopheles mosquitoes at different altitudinal transects, and the risk of malaria in the highland of Dirashe Woreda, south Ethiopia. *Parasit Vectors* 2017; 10: 343.



- 18. Gillies MT, De Meillon B. The Anophelinae of Africa South of the Sahara, vol. 54. Johannesburg: Publications of the South African Institute for Medical Research; 1968.
- 19. Bamou R, Rono M, Degefa T, Midega J, Mbogo C, Ingosi P, Kamau A, Ambelu A, Birhanu Z, Tushune K, Kopya E, Awono-Ambene P, Tchuinkam T, Njiokou F, Yewhalaw D, Antonio Nkondjio C, Mwangangi J. Entomological and Anthropological Factors Contributing to Persistent Malaria Transmission in Kenya, Ethiopia, and Cameroon. J Infect Dis. 2021; 223(Supplement_2): \$155-\$170.
- 20. Coetzee M. Literature review of the systematics, biology and role in malaria transmission of species in the Afrotropical Anopheles subgenus Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae). Zootaxa. 2022;5133(2):182-200
- Braack L, Bornman R, Kruger T, Dahan-Moss Y, Gilbert A, Kaiser M, Oliver SV, Cornel AJ, Lee Y, Norris DE, Coetzee M, Brooke B, Jager C. Malaria Vectors and Vector Surveillance in Limpopo Province (South Africa): 1927 to 2018. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020; 17(11): 4125.
- 22. Bartilol B, Omedo I, Mbogo C, Mwangangi J, Rono MK. Bionomics and ecology of *Anopheles merus* along the East and Southern Africa coast. *Parasit Vectors*. 2021; 14(1): 84.
- Mbokazi F, Coetzee M, Brooke B, Govere J, Reid A, Owiti P, Kosgei R, Zhou S, Magagula R, Kok G, Namboze J, Tweya H, Mabuza A. Changing distribution and abundance of the malaria vector Anopheles merus in Mpumalanga Province, South Africa. Public Health Action. 2018; 8(Suppl 1): S39-S43.
- 24. Muturi EJ, Kamau L, Jacob BG, Muriu S, Mbogo CM, Shililu J, Githure J, Novak RJ. Spatial distribution, blood feeding pattern, and role of Anopheles funestus complex in malaria transmission in central Kenya. Parasitol Res. 2009; 105(4): 1041-1046.
- 25. Sinka ME, Pironon S, Massey NC, et al. A new malaria vector in Africa: Predicting the expansion range of Anopheles stephensi and identifying the urban populations at risk. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2020;117(40):24900-24908. Ahn J, Sinka M, Irish S, Zohdy S. Modeling marine cargo traffic to identify countries in Africa with greatest risk of
- 26. invasion by Anopheles stephensi. Sci Rep. 2023;13(1):876.
- 27. Larval source management a supplementary measure for malaria vector control. An operational manual, WHO 2013. http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/atoz/larval_source_management_2-pager_eng.pdf